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## TRANS-TEXAS CORRIDOR TTC-35

## 1 Overview

The purpose of the analysis was to determine the potential effect of a TTC-35 facility on evacuation times of five major metropolitan areas within the IH-35 corridor. The time necessary to evacuate an area is directly related to the number of vehicles exiting that area and the available capacity of the roadways serving that area.

In this analysis, evacuation time was calculated assuming the total number of vehicles within the metropolitan area (one per household) divided by the capacity (number of lanes x lane capacity per hour) of all the major roadways serving an entry and exit point of the metropolitan area.

This analysis was performed for each metropolitan area with and without a fully built out TTC-35 facility available. The results of this analysis show the potential that a TTC-35 facility would have on expediting evacuation times for each metropolitan area. San Antonio and Austin could experience as much as a 46 percent reduction in their evacuation times. Waco and Laredo could experience as much as 66 to 75 percent reduction in their evacuation times while the Dallas - Fort Worth area could experience a 17 percent reduction in evacuation time.

## 2 Introduction

Evacuation routes in Texas (Appendix 1) were traditionally established from the Texas Gulf Coast to more secure inland locations for protection against natural disasters such as hurricanes. However, with consideration of man-made disasters, the traditional definition of evacuation routes can be expanded to include these types of catastrophes and the further inclusion of other possible evacuation routes.

This technical report presents the findings of the evacuation scenario analysis conducted for the Trans-Texas Corridor-35 (TTC-35) project. The purpose of the analysis was to estimate the relative benefit that a TTC-35 facility would provide for evacuation of major metropolitan areas within the TTC-35 corridor should a man-made or natural disaster occur.
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## 3 Modeling Assumptions and Methodology

For this report, benefit is defined as a reduction in evacuation time for the five major metropolitan areas within the TTC-35 study area. Evacuation time is defined as the time in hours required to evacuate a metropolitan area once the driving public reached a major roadway as defined in Table 2.

Basic assumptions developed for this report include:

- All evacuations would take place by personal vehicles operating on major roadways.
- The five major metropolitan areas in the TTC-35 study area are:
a. Laredo metro area consisting of Webb County
b. San Antonio metro area consisting of Bexar County
c. Austin metro area consisting of Hays, Travis, and Williamson Counties
d. Waco metro area consisting of McLennan County
e. Dallas-Fort Worth metro area consisting of Collin, Dallas, Denton, and Tarrant Counties.
- Total roadway capacity is the sum of the individual major roadway capacities available for evacuation within each respective metropolitan area.
- The number of vehicles needed to evacuate a metropolitan area would be equal to the number of households within each metropolitan area as shown in Table 1.
- Major roadways available for evacuation were identified as all Interstate, all U.S. Highways, and most State Highways exiting the boundary of each major metropolitan area. These roadways were selected based on the likelihood that they would provide the most efficient opportunity for evacuee's to exit their respective metropolitan area. The remaining roadway network's capacity was assumed to be insignificant and was not included in this analysis. The major roadways identified and used for the evacuation scenarios in each major metropolitan area are listed in Table 2.
- Roadway capacities were estimated based upon each roadway's number of lanes and optimum individual operational characteristics.
- The evacuation of each metro area was considered independently from the evacuation of other metropolitan areas.
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Table 1: Total Number of Households

| Metropolitan Area | 2005 Households |
| :---: | :---: |
| Laredo | 59,747 |
| San Antonio | 519,298 |
| Austin | 508,912 |
| Waco | 80,546 |
| Dallas-Fort Worth | $1,817,489$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2005 Estimates

Table 2: Identified Major Roadways used as Evacuation Routes

| Metro Area | TTC-35 | Interstates | U.S. Highways | State Highways |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laredo | TTC-35 ( N ) | IH-35 (N) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } 59 \text { (E) } \\ & \text { U.S. } 83 \text { (N\&S) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SH } 44 \text { (E) } \\ & \text { SH } 359 \text { (E) } \end{aligned}$ |
| San <br> Antonio | TTC-35 <br> (N\&S) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IH-10 (E\&W) } \\ & \text { IH-35 (N\&S) } \\ & \mathrm{IH}-37 \text { (S) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } 87 \text { (E) } \\ & \text { U.S. } 90 \text { (W) } \\ & \text { U.S. } 181 \text { (S) } \\ & \text { U.S. } 281 \text { (N\&S) } \end{aligned}$ | SH 16 (N\&S) |
| Austin | TTC-35 <br> (N\&S) | IH-35 (N\&S) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } 79 \text { (E) } \\ & \text { U.S. } 183 \text { (N\&S) } \\ & \text { U.S. } 290 \text { (E\&W) } \end{aligned}$ | SH 21 (E) <br> SH 29 (W) <br> SH 71 (E\&W) <br> SH 80 (S) <br> SH 95 (N\&S) <br> SH 123 (S) <br> SH 195 (N) |
| Waco | TTC-35 <br> (N\&S) | IH-35 (N\&S) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. } 77 \text { (S) } \\ & \text { U.S. } 84 \text { (E\&W) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SH } 6 \text { (E\&W) } \\ & \text { SH } 31 \text { (N) } \\ & \text { SH } 164 \text { (E) } \\ & \text { SH } 317 \text { (S) } \end{aligned}$ |


| Metro <br> Area | TTC-35 | Interstates | U.S. Highways | State <br> Highways |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dallas- <br> Fort <br> Worth | TTC-35 <br> (S) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IH-20 (E\&W) } \\ & \text { IH-30 (E) } \\ & \text { IH-35 (N) } \\ & \text { IH-35E (S) } \\ & \text { IH-35W (S) } \\ & \text { IH-45 (S) } \end{aligned}$ | U.S. 67 (S) <br> U.S. 75 ( N ) <br> U.S. 80 (E) <br> U.S. 81 (N) <br> U.S. 175 (S) <br> U.S. 287 (S) <br> U.S. 377 (N\&S) <br> U.S. 380 (E\&W) | SH 5 (N) <br> SH 66 (E) <br> SH 114 (E) <br> SH 121 ( N ) <br> SH 199 (W) <br> SH 205 (S) <br> SH 289 (N) <br> SH 342 (S) <br> SH 360 (S) |

Notes:
Letters in parentheses indicate assumed direction of travel.

Based on the data presented in Appendix 2, the following three evacuation scenarios were developed:

1) Normal highway operations meaning two-way traffic on existing roadways without TTC-35.
2) Normal highway operations of existing roadways with a normal operating TTC-35 facility.
3) Normal highway operations of existing roadways with a contraflow (meaning the use of all lanes evacuating in one direction) TTC-35.

## 4 Results of the Modeling

The evacuation time (hours) as outlined in Table 3 below is based upon the number of vehicles and the available roadway capacity from each major metropolitan area under each of the three evacuation scenarios and is not route specific.
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Table 3: Evacuation Time from Major Metropolitan Areas

| Metro Area | Existing <br> Evacuation <br> Routes <br> (hours) | Existing Evacuation <br> Routes With <br> Normal Operating <br> TTC-35 (hours) | Existing Evacuation <br> Routes With <br> TTC-35 Contraflow <br> (hours) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Laredo | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| San <br> Antonio | 13 | 9 | 7 |
| Austin | 11 | 8 | 6 |
| Waco | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Dallas - <br> Fort Worth | 24 | 22 | 20 |

Figure 1 below graphically depicts the time savings in hours for each of the three modeled scenarios.

Figure 1: Evacuation Time from Major Metropolitan Areas
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## 5 Conclusions

The evacuation time results are presented within the narrow context of the assumptions, methodology and scenarios outlined in this report. Under the scenarios and assumptions developed, the hypothetical operation of TTC-35 provided a benefit in terms of reduced evacuation times for the five major metropolitan areas. A TTC-35 facility would likely provide the greatest benefit in those areas where there are fewer existing candidate evacuation routes.
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APPENDIX 1: MAJOR HURRICANE EVACUATION ROUTES
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APPENDIX 2: OPERATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS OF MAJOR ROADWAYS

## Dallas-Fort Worth

|  | Capacity Per Lane Per Hour | One-way Lanes | Total Capacity Per Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IH35-N | 1,800 | 2 | 3,600 |
| US377-N | 1,400 | 1 | 1,400 |
| SH289-N | 1,200 | 1 | 1,200 |
| US75-N | 1,800 | 2 | 3,600 |
| SH5-N | 1,200 | 1 | 1,200 |
| SH121-N | 1,200 | 1 | 1,200 |
| US380-E | 1,400 | 1 | 1,400 |
| SH66-E | 1,200 | 1 | 1,200 |
| SH205-S | 1,200 | 1 | 1,200 |
| IH30-E | 1,800 | 3 | 5,400 |
| US80-E | 1,800 | 2 | 3,600 |
| IH20-E | 1,800 | 3 | 5,400 |
| US175-S | 1,600 | 2 | 3,200 |
| IH45-S | 1,800 | 3 | 5,400 |
| SH342-S | 1,200 | 1 | 1,200 |
| IH35E-S | 1,800 | 2 | 3,600 |
| US67-S | 1,600 | 2 | 3,200 |
| SH360-S | 1,600 | 1 | 1,600 |
| US287-S | 1,800 | 2 | 3,600 |
| IH35W-S | 1,800 | 3 | 5,400 |
| US377-S | 1,600 | 2 | 3,200 |
| IH20-W | 1,800 | 3 | 5,400 |
| SH199-W | 1,600 | 2 | 3,200 |
| US81-N | 1,600 | 2 | 3,200 |
| SH-114E | 1,200 | 1 | 1,200 |
| US380-W | 1,400 | 1 | 1,400 |
| SubTotal |  | 46 | 75,200 |
| TTC-N | 1,800 | 5 | 9,000 |
| SubTotal |  | 5 | 9,000 |
| TOTAL |  | 51 | 84,200 |
| TOTAL+ | traflow | 56 | 93,200 |

Waco

|  | Capacity Per Lane <br> Per Hour | One-way <br> Lanes | Total Capacity <br> Per Hour |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| IH35-N | 1,800 | 3 | 5,400 |
| SH31-N | 1,200 | 1 | 1,200 |
| US84-E | 1,400 | 1 | 1,400 |
| SH164-E | 1,200 | 1 | 1,200 |
| SH6-E | 1,200 | 1 | 1,200 |
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| US77-S | 1,400 | 1 | 1,400 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| IH35-S | 1,800 | 3 | 5,400 |
| SH317-S | 1,200 | 1 | 1,200 |
| US84-W | 1,400 | 1 | 1,400 |
| SH6-W | 1,400 | 1 | 1,400 |
| SubTotal |  | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 2 0 0}$ |
|  | 1,800 | 5 | 9,000 |
| TTC-N | 1,800 | 5 | 9,000 |
| TTC-S |  | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 0 0 0}$ |
| SubTotal |  | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 , 2 0 0}$ |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 , 2 0 0}$ |

## Austin

|  | Capacity Per Lane <br> Per Hour | One-way <br> Lanes | Total Capacity <br> Per Hour |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| US183-N | 1,600 | 2 | 3,200 |
| SH195-N | 1,200 | 1 | 1,200 |
| IH35-N | 1,800 | 3 | 5,400 |
| SH95-N | 1,200 | 2 | 2,400 |
| US79-E | 1,600 | 2 | 3,200 |
| SH95-S | 1,200 | 2 | 2,400 |
| US290-E | 1,600 | 2 | 3,200 |
| SH71-E | 1,600 | 2 | 3,200 |
| US183-S | 1,600 | 2 | 3,200 |
| SH21-E | 1,200 | 1 | 1,200 |
| SH80-S | 1,200 | 2 | 2,400 |
| SH123-S | 1,200 | 2 | 2,400 |
| IH35-S | 1,800 | 3 | 5,400 |
| US290-W | 1,600 | 2 | 3,200 |
| SH71-W | 1,400 | 2 | 2,800 |
| SH29-W | 1,200 | 2 | 2,400 |
| SubTotal |  | 32 | $\mathbf{4 7 , 2 0 0}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| TTC-N | 1,800 | 5 | 9,000 |
| TTC-S | 1,800 | 5 | 9,000 |
| SubTotal |  | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 0 0 0}$ |
| TOTAL |  | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 , 2 0 0}$ |
| TOTAL+ TTC35 Contraflow |  | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 , 2 0 0}$ |

## San Antonio

|  | Capacity Per Lane Per Hour | One-way Lanes | Total Capacity Per Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US281-N | 1,600 | 2 | 3,200 |
| IH35-N | 1,800 | 2 | 3,600 |
| IH10-E | 1,800 | 2 | 3,600 |
| US87-E | 1,600 | 2 | 3,200 |
| US181-S | 1,600 | 2 | 3,200 |
| IH37-S | 1,800 | 2 | 3,600 |
| US281-S | 1,600 | 2 | 3,200 |
| SH16-S | 1,600 | 2 | 3,200 |
| IH35-S | 1,800 | 2 | 3,600 |
| US90-W | 1,600 | 2 | 3,200 |
| SH16-N | 1,600 | 2 | 3,200 |
| IH10-W | 1,800 | 2 | 3,600 |
| SubTotal |  | 24 | 40,400 |
| TTC-N | 1,800 | 5 | 9,000 |
| TTC-S | 1,800 | 5 | 9,000 |
| SubTotal |  | 10 | 18,000 |
| TOTAL |  | 34 | 58,400 |
| TOTAL+ T | traflow | 44 | 76,400 |
| Laredo |  |  |  |
|  | Capacity Per Lane Per Hour | One-way Lanes | Total Capacity Per Hour |
| US83-N | 1,400 | 1 | 1,400 |
| IH35-N | 1,800 | 2 | 3,600 |
| SH44-E | 1,400 | 1 | 1,400 |
| US59-E | 1,400 | 1 | 1,400 |
| SH359-E | 1,200 | 1 | 1,200 |
| US83-S | 1,600 | 1 | 1,600 |
| SubTotal |  | 7 | 10,600 |
| TTC-N | 1,800 | 5 | 9,000 |
| SubTotal |  | 5 | 9,000 |
| TOTAL |  | 12 | 19,600 |
| TOTAL+ | traflow | 17 | 28,600 |

